WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America v.			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING DISPOSITION	
	M	lichael David Bowling	Case Number:	CR-08-542-PHX-DGC
		e with FRCP 32.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(facts are established: (Check one or both, as		en submitted to the Court. I conclude that
X	the de	e defendant is a danger to the community and requires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case.		
	the de	efendant is a serious flight risk and requires	the detention of the defendan	t pending disposition in this case.
		PARTI	FINDINGS OF FACT	
	(1) 18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is			
		a crime of violence as defined in	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
		an offense for which the maximu	m sentence is life imprisonme	nt or death.
		an offense for which a maximum	term of imprisonment of ten y	rears or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed afte described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)	r the defendant had been con (1)(A)-(C), or comparable stat	victed of two or more prior federal offenses e or local offenses.
		any felony that involves a minor device (as those terms are defin to register under 18 U.S.C. §225	ed in section 921), or any othe	session or use of a firearm or destructive er dangerous weapon, or involves a failure
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.		
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.		
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a will reasonably assure the safety of (an)onot rebutted this presumption.	rebuttable presumption that nother person(s) and the comm	o condition or combination of conditions unity. I further find that the defendant has
		Alt	ernative Findings	
	(1)	18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable	cause to believe that the defe	endant has committed an offense
		for which a maximum term of im	orisonment of ten years or mo	re is prescribed in1
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b.	
		under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for prescribed.	which a maximum term of imp	risonment of 20 years or more is
		an offense involving a minor vict	im under section	.2
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the pres	umption established by finding	

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}\}text{Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. }\S 1201, 1591, 2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.$

	Alternative Findings			
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.			
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.			
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).			
(4)	The defendant has failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight or			
	danger to the community.			
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)			
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:			
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of			
The	e defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:			

 $^{^3}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:08-cr-00542-DGC Document 44 Filed 02/04/13 Page 3 of 3

In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention and is alleged to have violated conditions of Supervised Release, which include allegations of repeated methamphetamine use while on supervision, failure to submit urine samples for drug testing, and failure to participate in substance abuse treatment, resulting in his unsuccessful discharge from outpatient treatment. The defendant is also alleged to have committed new crimes while on supervision, shoplifting and criminal damage. Due to his continued substance abuse issues and criminal conduct, the defendant poses a risk to the safely of the community and a risk of flight.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 31st day of January, 2013.

Bridget S. Bade
United States Magistrate Judge

Page 3 of 3